

The Alberta Lake Management Society Volunteer Lake Monitoring Program

# **Pine Lake**

2006 Report

Completed with support from:







Alberta Lake Management Society PO Box 4283 Edmonton, Alberta T6E 4T3 Water is integral to supporting and maintaining life on this planet as it moderates the climate, creates growth and shapes the living substance of all of Earth's creatures. It is the tide of life itself, the sacred source. David Suzuki (1997). The Sacred Balance.

# Alberta Lake Management Society's Lakewatch Program

Lakewatch has several important objectives, one of which is to collect and interpret water quality on Alberta Lakes. Equally important is educating lake users about their aquatic environment, encouraging public involvement in lake management, and facilitating cooperation and partnerships between government, industry, the scientific community and lake users. Lakewatch Reports are designed to summarize basic lake data in understandable terms for a lay audience and are not meant to be a complete synopsis of information about specific lakes. Additional information is available for many lakes that have been included in Lakewatch and readers requiring more information are encouraged to seek these sources.

ALMS would like to thank all who express interest in Alberta's aquatic environments and particularly those who have participated in the Lakewatch program. These people prove that ecological apathy can be overcome and give us hope that our water resources will not be the limiting factor in the health of our environment.

# Acknowledgements

The Lakewatch program is made possible through the dedication of its volunteers. Leah and John Cottam, with assistance from Lakewatch staff, sampled Pine Lake in 2006 and were instrumental in the success of the 2006 program. Numerous Alberta Environment staff also contributed to successful completion of the 2006 program. Project Technical Coordinator, Shelley Manchur was instrumental in planning and organizing the field program. Technologists, Mike Bilyk, Brian Jackson and John Willis were involved in the logistics planning and training aspects of the program. Doreen LeClair was responsible for data management. Theo Charette (ALMS Director) was responsible for program administration and planning. Al Sosiak (Limnologist, AENV) prepared this report. The Lakewatch program was financially supported by Alberta Environment, Pine Lake Restoration Society, Lakeland Industry and Community Association (LICA) and Lakeland County.

# Pine Lake

Pine Lake (Figure 1) is a small eutrophic lake southeast of Red Deer, Alberta. Pine Lake is subject to cyanobacterial blooms. Public concern over deteriorating water quality prompted the Alberta government to initiate a lake restoration program in 1991. The Pine Lake Restoration Program was designed as a pilot project for future lake and watershed projects in Alberta.




Figure 1. Bathymetry of Pine Lake (Sosiak and Trew, 1996)

An advisory committee that represented all members of the community directed early planning and problem diagnosis by the Alberta government. A diagnostic study in 1992 (Sosiak and Trew, 1996) determined that approximately 61% of the total phosphorus (TP) loading was from sediment release and other internal sources, compared to about 36% from surface runoff and determined that algal growth in Pine Lake was mainly limited by the supply of phosphorus. Four critical areas for watershed restoration were identified on four streams affected by livestock operations and sewage release (Sosiak and Trew, 1996). These streams contributed 72% of the phosphorus loading from streams in 1992.

The advisory committee later formed the Pine Lake Restoration Society, a non-profit organization with representatives from all stakeholders, which raised funds and worked with technical advisors from the Alberta government. The Pine Lake Restoration Society implemented a four-year work plan in 1995 that addressed phosphorus loading from all sources. The main objective of the restoration program was to restore Pine Lake to a "natural" level of algal productivity. The Pine Lake Restoration Society and other individuals in the basin completed beneficial management practices

(BMPs) projects at various agricultural sites. Other organizations also improved wastewater treatment at a resort and two camps near the shoreline of Pine Lake.

Following an evaluation of the different alternatives to remove or treat phosphorus released from lake sediments, hypolimnetic withdrawal was selected as the preferred method of treatment. Hypolimnetic withdrawal has been successfully used to reduce TP concentration in various lakes, mainly in Europe, but has never been attempted in Alberta. Two different designs for the Pine Lake system were prepared and evaluated and, following public notice and licensing, the system was installed in September 1998.

The system at Pine Lake consists of a weir that maintains head and regulates lake level, and a gravity-fed pipeline that withdraws cool, phosphorus-rich water from near the bottom of the lake (called the hypolimnion) of the south basin and discharges through a vault with control valves to a stilling basin on Ghostpine Creek. Locations and other details on the projects and results of water quality sampling to 2001 are in Sosiak (2002).

This report presents results of a volunteer sampling of Pine Lake in 2006. The Lakewatch sampling program is designed to monitor changes in water quality in Pine Lake following the completion of watershed and lake projects in 1998. Although the Alberta government sampled individual sub-basins of Pine Lake prior to 2003, key variables were seldom significantly different among sub-basins (Sosiak 2002). Accordingly, Lakewatch volunteers collected a single euphotic zone composite sample from the entire lake on each sampling date during June to September starting in 2003. This change also ensured a consistent sampling approach was used throughout Lakewatch. This report consists of a comparison of 2006 results to data collected by the Alberta government and Lakewatch since 1978. The composite sampling was supplemented by meter measurements of temperature, dissolved oxygen, conductivity, and pH throughout the water column, at the same location sampled previously in the middle basin of Pine Lake.

#### Water Levels

Water levels in Pine Lake have been monitored since 1965 (Figure 2). Under the approval to operate the hypolimnetic withdrawal system, the Pine Lake Restoration Society tries to maintain water levels within a range (Figure 2) that was recommended in the engineering report for the system. The weir operator for the Society accomplishes this by adding or removing boards to the weir at the lake outfall and by operating the control valves. There was sufficient water to operate the hypolimnetic withdrawal system in 1999, 2000, 2003, and 2005, 2006, and briefly in 2001. However, there was not sufficient water to operate the system during 2002, which was one of the worst droughts that have occurred in this region, or in 2004 when lake levels were still low following the drought. During planning for the project, it was assumed that there would be insufficient water to operate the system three years of 10 (Sosiak, 1997).

Water levels were maintained within the target levels for all but a brief period in the spring of the 2006 operating season (Figure 2), although they increased to 889.722 m in April




Figure 2: Historical water levels for Pine Lake

2006. Water levels were at the lower target level at 889.4 m on November 4, 2006.

This recovery in lake levels from the low levels during 2001 to 2003 was probably a result of above average precipitation in 2004 and 2005.

#### Results

Water Temperature and Dissolved Oxygen

Water temperature and dissolved oxygen profiles in the water column can provide information on water quality and fish habitat. Please refer to the end of this report for descriptions of technical terms.




Figure 3: Temperature and dissolved oxygen concentrations with depth in Pine Lake, summer 2006




Figure 4: Total phosphorus, total nitrogen and chlorophyll-a concentrations (i.e., amount of algae), summer 2006.

As in previous years weak thermal stratification occurred in the central basin of Pine Lake in 2006 (Figure 3). Thermal stratification formed from early June to early August, but otherwise water temperature remained nearly constant from top to bottom and the water column was likely well-mixed by mid-September. Dissolved oxygen concentrations were highest in July when a peak oxygen concentration of 11.86 mg/L was measured at the surface. Surface oxygen concentrations were lowest in mid-September at 3.33 mg/L (Figure 3). These relatively low oxygen concentrations probably resulted from fall turnover, when anoxic water from the hypolimnion may move to the surface of a lake. Anoxic conditions (containing little or no oxygen, < 1 mg/L) were found in waters below 6 m in July, a bit earlier and over more of the water column than usual (Sosiak 2002), and below 8 m in August. (Figure 3). The sharp drop in dissolved oxygen in July and August corresponds with the period of greatest bacterial decomposition of organic matter at the lake bottom. Except for September, dissolved oxygen concentrations near the surface were within quality guidelines throughout the summer. Although below the Alberta Surface Water Quality of 5 mg/L in September 2006, these concentrations were still within the tolerance limits of the cool water sport fish species typical of Pine Lake.

#### Water clarity and Secchi Depth

Water clarity is influenced by suspended materials, both living and dead, as well as dissolved coloured compounds in the water column. During the melting of snow and ice in spring, lake water can become turbid (cloudy) from silt transported into the lake. Lake water usually clears in late spring but then becomes more turbid with increased algal biomass as the summer progresses. The easiest and most widely used measure of lake water clarity is the Secchi disk depth.

In 2006, Pine Lake's water was less clear than in 2005 (average Secchi disk depth of 1.8 m versus 2.5 m) (Table 1), and similar to 2004, when algal blooms also occurred following heavy rains. Water clarity followed patterns in algal biomass, or how green the water becomes (Figure 4). As in previous years, water clarity in 2006 was highest in early June (Secchi 3.0 m), and was lowest in late August and mid-September (Secchi 1.3 m).

Average Secchi depths in 2003 and 2005 were near the boundary between mesotrophic and eutrophic states (3 m). One of the goals of the restoration program has been to achieve a natural level of productivity. Pine Lake is thought to have been naturally mesotrophic. In 2006, this goal was not met.

#### Water chemistry

The average total phosphorus concentrations reported for Pine Lake in 2006 (81 ? g/L, Table 1) was lower than before completion of the restoration program in 1998, but higher than in 2002 and 2003. Total dissolved phosphorus and nitrite+nitrate have also declined since 1998 (Table 2) and remained lower in 2006. In contrast, dissolved ammonia and total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) were much higher in recent years (2004-2006) than during 1996-2003. Ammonia is commonly produced during anoxic conditions, or may be introduced by runoff from sources in a watershed. It is not currently possible to determine the sources of ammonia and TKN in recent years. However, a more detailed sampling program by Alberta

Environment in 2007 is intended to investigate these and other aspects of productivity in Pine Lake.

**Table 1:** Mean values from summer 2006 samples compared to values from previous years of

sampling and those reported in the Atlas of Alberta Lakes (Mitchell and Prepas 1990) Parameter 1979 1984 1992 2002 2004 2005 1996 2003 2006  $TP(?g?L^{-1})$ 104.0 49.3 79 70 56 84.7 55 81.2  $TDP(?g?L^{-1})$ 57.9 18.4 26.2 26.2 33.3 38.6 32.4  $Chl(?g?L^{-1})$ 11.3 26.3 50.4 22.1 15.6 17.9 37.8 19.3 32.7 Secchi (m) 3.4 2.1 1.7 2.5 1.8 1.8 1.7 3.1 1.8 1442  $TKN (?g?L^{-1})$ 1293 1474 1750 1302 2052 1360 1880 1856  $TN (?g?L^{-1})$ 2088 1385 1445 1484 2020 1761 1859  $NO_2+NO_3N$  (?g?L 13 < 10 36 11 10 9.7 11 2  $NH_4^+ N (?g?L^{-1})$ 59 146 120 11 98 136 156 134 Ca (mg  $?L^{-1}$ ) 23 25 28 20 21 21.7 21 24  $Mg (mg ?L^{-1})$ 25 25 24 26 24 25 23 23 Na (mg ?L<sup>-1</sup>) 99 112 124 132 129 128 108 103  $K (mg ?L^{-1})$ 11.5 10 10 10 10 10 10.7  $SO_4^{2^-}$  (mg ?L<sup>-1</sup>) 84 69 90 79 63 85 78 82.3 Cl (mg ?L-1) 7 8 11 10 11 10 12 **Total Alkalinity** 321 331 342 319 308 313 341 346  $(mg ?L^{-1} CaCO_3)$ pН 8.8 8.7 8.7  $HCO_3(mg?L^{-1})$ 374 381 387

Note. TDP = total dissolved phosphorus, Chla = chlorophyll a, NO<sub>2+3</sub> = nitrate+nitrite, NH<sub>4</sub> = ammonium, Ca = calcium, Mg = magnesium, Na = sodium, K = potassium, SO<sub>4</sub> = sulphate, Cl = chloride, CO<sub>3</sub> = carbonate, HCO<sub>3</sub> = bicarbonate.

Average chlorophyll-*a* concentrations were higher in 2006 (average 32.7 ? g/L, Table 1, Figure 4) compared to most years since completion of the restoration program in 1998. However, chlorophyll-*a* concentrations have decreased compared to the year with the poorest water quality (1992). These higher total phosphorus and chlorophyll-*a* concentrations probably reflect the effects of increased phosphorus and nitrogen loadings from the watershed due to an increase in surface runoff after several years of drought. Other factors such as changes in algal community over time may also influence the way this lake responds to nutrient loading. Algal blooms still occur in the late summer, but conditions have improved compared to 1992.

Alkalinity, chloride, and sodium levels have increased slightly since 2003, and most forms of nitrogen have also increased since 2002. However, other variables have changed little over time (Table 1). Pine Lake is well protected from acidification; its pH of 8.7 is well above that of pure water (i.e., pH 7). Bicarbonate, sulphate, calcium, and magnesium are the dominant ions in Pine Lake, and have remained relatively constant over the past two decades.

The results of the 2006 volunteer sampling of Pine Lake provide a valuable ongoing assessment of changes in this lake since the lake restoration program. This lake was intended to serve as a model for future lake management programs in Alberta, and some of the lake and watershed methods used at Pine Lake are unique in this province. It would be valuable to

continue monitoring this lake, to evaluate the operation of the hypolimnetic withdrawal system and the response of the lake to normal variations in precipitation and runoff.

#### References

- Mitchell, P. and E. Prepas. 1990. Atlas of Alberta Lakes. University of Alberta Press.
- Sosiak, A.J. 1997. Modelling of the response of Pine Lake to reduced internal and external loadings. Water Sciences Branch, Alberta Environment. 12 p.
- Sosiak, A. 2002. Initial results of the Pine Lake restoration program. Science and Standards, Alberta Environment. 87 p.
- Sosiak, A.J. and D.O. Trew. 1996. Pine Lake restoration project: diagnostic study (1992). Surface Water Assessment Branch, Alberta Environmental Protection. 120 p.

#### A brief introduction to Limnology

#### Indicators of water quality

The goal of **Lakewatch** is to collect water samples necessary to determine the water quality of lakes. Though not all encompassing, the variables measured in **Lakewatch** are sensitive to human activities in watersheds that may cause impacts to water quality. For example, nutrients such as phosphorus and nitrogen are important determinants of lake productivity. The concentrations of these nutrients in a lake are affected (typically elevated) by land use changes such as increased crop production or livestock grazing. Elevated nutrient concentrations can cause increases in undesirable algae blooms resulting in low dissolved oxygen concentrations, degraded fish habitat and production of noxious odors. Large increases in nutrients over time may also indicate sewage inputs, which in turn, may result in other human health concerns such as harmful bacteria or protozoans (e.g. *Cryptosporidium*).

### Temperature and mixing

Water temperature in a lake dictates the behavior of many chemical parameters responsible for water quality (Figure 6). Heat is transferred to a lake at its surface and slowly moves downward depending on water circulation in the lake. Lak with a large surface area or a small volume tend have greater mixing due to wind. In deeper lake circulation is not strong enough to move warm water to depths typically greater than 4 or 5 m a as a result cooler denser water remains at the bottom of the lake. As the difference in temperature between warm surface and cold deeper water increases, two distinct layers are formed. Limnologists call these layers of water the **epilimnion** at the surface and the




Figure 5: Difference in the circulation of the water column depending on thermal stratification.

**hypolimnion** at the bottom. The layers are separated by a transition layer known as the **metalimnion** which contains the effective wall separating top and bottom waters called a **thermocline**. A thermocline typically occurs when water temperature changes by more than one degree within one-meter depth. The hypolimnion and epilimnion do not mix, nor do elements such as oxygen supplied at the surface move downward into the hypolimnion. In the fall, surface waters begin to cool and eventually reach the same temperature as hypolimnetic water. At this point the water mixes from top to bottom in what is called a **turnover** event. Surface water cools further as ice forms and again a thermocline develops this time with 4? C water at the bottom and 0? C water on the top.

In spring another turnover event occurs when surface waters warm to 4? C. Lakes with this mixing pattern of two stratification periods and two turnover events are called **dimictic** lakes. In shallower lakes, the water column may mix from top to bottom most of the ice-free season with occasional stratification during periods of calm warm conditions. Lakes that mix frequently are termed **polymictic** lakes. In our cold climate, many shallow lakes are **cold monomictic** meaning a thermocline develops every winter, there is one turnover event in spring but the remainder of the ice-free season the lake is polymictic.

## Dissolved Oxygen

Oxygen enters a lake at the lake surface and throughout the water column when produced by photosynthesizing plants, including algae, in the lake. Oxygen is consumed within the lake by respiration of living organisms and decomposition of organic material in the lake sediments. In lakes that stratify (see temperature above), oxygen that dissolves into the lake at the surface cannot mix downward into the hypolimnion. At the same time oxygen is depleted in the hypolimnion by decomposition. The result is that the hypolimnion of a lake can become **anoxic**,

meaning it contains little or no dissolved oxygen. When a lake is frozen, the entire water column can become anoxic because the surface is sealed off from the atmosphere. Winter anoxic conditions can result in a fish-kill which is particularly common during harsh winters with extended ice-cover. Alberta Surface Water Quality Guidelines suggest dissolved oxygen concentrations (in the epilimnion) must not decline below 5 mg/L and should not average less than 6.5 mg/L over a seven-day period. However, the guidelines also require that dissolved oxygen concentrations remain above 9.5 mg/L in areas where early life stages of aquatic biota, particularly fish, are present.

#### General Water Chemistry

Water in lakes always contains substances that have been transported by rain and snow or have entered the lake in groundwater and inflow streams. These substances may be dissolved in the water or suspended as particles. Some of these substances are familiar minerals, such as sodium and chloride, which when combined form table salt, but when dissolved in water separate into the two electrically charged components called **ions**. Most dissolved substances in water are in ionic forms and are held in solution due to the polar nature of the water molecule. **Hydrophobic** (water-fearing) compounds such as oils contain little or no ionic character, are nonpolar and for this reason do not readily dissolve in water. Although hydrophobic compounds do not readily dissolve, they can still be transported to lakes by flowing water. Within individual lakes, ion concentrations vary from year to year depending on the amount and mineral content of the water entering the lake. This mineral content can be influenced by the amount of precipitation and other climate variables as well as human activities such as fertilizer and road salt application.

#### Phosphorus and Nitrogen

Phosphorus and nitrogen are important nutrients limiting the growth of algae in Alberta lakes. While nitrogen usually limits agricultural plants, phosphorus is usually in shortest supply in lakes. Even a slight increase of phosphorus in a lake can, given the right conditions, promote algal blooms causing the water to turn green in the summer and impair recreational uses. When pollution originating from livestock manure and human sewage enters lakes not only are the concentrations of phosphorus and nitrogen increased but nitrogen can become a limiting nutrient which is thought to cause blooms of toxic algae belonging to the cyanobacteria. Not all cyanobacteria are toxic, however, the blooms can form decomposing mats that smell and impair dissolved oxygen concentrations in the lake.

## Chlorophyll-a

Chlorophyll-a is a photosynthetic pignent that green plants, including algae, possess enabling them to convert the sun's energy to living material. Chlorophyll-a can be easily extracted from algae in the laboratory. Consequently, chlorophyll-a is a good estimate of the amount of algae in the water. Larger aquatic plants, known as macrophytes, rather than algae, dominate some highly productive lakes. In these lakes, chlorophyll-a and nutrient values taken from water samples do not include productivity from large aquatic plants. As a result, lakes like Chestermere, which are dominated by macrophytes, can exist at a lower trophic state than if macrophyte biomass was included. Unfortunately, the productivity and nutrient cycling contributions of macrophytes are difficult to sample accurately and are therefore not typically included in trophic state indices.

## Secchi Disk Depth

Lakes that are clear are more attractive for recreation, whereas those that are turbid or murky are considered by lake users to have poor water quality. Secchi disk depth is the oldest, simplest, and quickest quantitative measure of water clarity. A Secchi disk is a black and white disk that is lowered down through the water column until it can no longer be seen. Secchi disk depth is the midpoint between the depth at which it disappears when lowered and reappears when it is pulled up again. The Secchi disk depth in lakes with high algal biomass will generally be shallow. However, Secchi disk depth is not only affected by algae. High concentrations of suspended sediments, particularly fine clays or glacial till, are common in plains or mountain reservoirs of

Alberta. Mountain reservoirs may have exceedingly shallow Secchi disk depths despite low algal growth and nutrient concentrations.

The euphotic zone, calculated as twice the Secchi disk depth, is the portion of the water column that has sufficient light for aquatic plants to grow. Murky waters, with shallow Secchi depths, can prevent aquatic plants from growing on the lake bottom. Aquatic plants are important because they ensure clear lake water by reducing shoreline erosion and stabilizing lake bottom sediments. Many lakes in Alberta are shallow and have bottom sediments with high concentrations of nutrients. Without aquatic plants, water quality may decline in these lakes due to murky, sediment-laden water and excessive algal blooms. Maintaining aquatic plants in certain areas of a lake is often essential for ensuring good water clarity and a healthy lake as many organisms, like aquatic invertebrates and fish, depend on aquatic plants for food and shelter.

#### Trophic state

Trophic state is a classification system for lakes that depends on fertility and is a useful index for rating and comparing lakes. From low to high nutrient and algal biomass (as chlorophyll-a) concentrations, the trophic states are: oligotrophic, mesotrophic, eutrophic and hypereutrophic. The nutrient and algal biomass concentrations that define these categories are shown in table 2 and a graph of Alberta lakes compared by trophic state can be found on the ALMS website. A majority of lakes in Alberta are meso- to eutrophic because they naturally contain high nutrient concentrations due to our deep fertile soils. Thus, lakes in Alberta are susceptible to human impacts because they are already nutrient-rich; any further nutrient increases can bring about undesirable conditions illustrated in Figure. 7.




Figure 6: Suggested changes in various lake characteristics with eutrophication. From "Ecological Effects of Wastewater", 1980.

Table 2: Trophic status based on lake water characteristics				
Trophic state	Total Phosphorus (μg/L)	Total Nitrogen (μg/L)	Chlorophyll a (µg/L)	Secchi Depth (m)
Oligotrophic	< 10	< 350	< 3.5	> 4
Mesotrophic	10 - 30	350 - 650	3.5 - 9	4 - 2
Eutrophic	30 - 100	650 - 1200	9 - 25	2 - 1
Hypereutrophic	> 100	> 1200	> 25	< 1

Note: These values are from a detailed study of global lakes reported in Nurnberg 1996. Alberta Environment uses slightly different values for TP and CHL based on those of the OECD reported by Vollenweider (1982). The AENV and OECD cutoffs for TP are 10, 35 and 100; for CHL are 3, 8 and 25. AENV does not have TN or Secchi depth criteria. The corresponding OECD exists for Secchi depth and the cutoffs are 6, 3 and 1.5 m.

# Appendix 1

Means of  $\mu/L$  of metals tested at Angling Lake, 2004

Metals		CCME Guidelines *
BARIUM ug/L	67.1	1000 ug/L
CADMIUM ug/L	0.0056	5 ug/L
COBALT ug/L	0.0088	
CHROMIUM ug/L	0.38	50 ug/L
COPPER ug/L	1.03	<1000 ug/L
NICKEL ug/L	L0.005	
LEAD ug/L	0.0285	10 ug/L
SELENIUM ug/L	0.12	10 ug/L
VANADIUM ug/L	0.181	
ZINC ug/L	7.12	<5000 ug/L
FLUORIDE mg/L	0.23	1.5 mg/L

<sup>\*</sup>Canadian Council of Ministers for Environment. Maximum acceptable concentrations for Canadian drinking water.