# Lakewatch

The Alberta Lake Management Society Volunteer Lake Monitoring Program

# Pigeon Lake Report

# 2020

Updated November 9, 2021

Lakewatch is made possible with support from:









## ALBERTA LAKE MANAGEMENT SOCIETY'S LAKEWATCH PROGRAM

LakeWatch has several important objectives, one of which is to collect and interpret water quality data from Alberta's Lakes. Equally important is educating lake users about aquatic environments, encouraging public involvement in lake management, and facilitating cooperation and partnerships between government, industry, the scientific community and lake users. LakeWatch reports are designed to summarize basic lake data in understandable terms for the widest audience, and are not meant to be a complete synopsis of information about specific lakes. Additional information is available for many lakes that have been included in LakeWatch, and readers requiring more information are encouraged to seek those sources.

ALMS would like to thank all who express interest in Alberta's aquatic environments, and particularly those who have participated in the LakeWatch program. These leaders in stewardship give us hope that our water resources will not be the limiting factor in the health of our environment.

If you require data from this report, please contact ALMS for the raw data files.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The LakeWatch program is made possible through the dedication of its volunteers. A special thanks to Daren Lorentz and Bob Gibbs for their commitment to collecting data at Pigeon Lake. We would also like to thank Kyra Ford and Ryan Turner, who were summer technicians in 2020. Executive Director Bradley Peter and Program Manager Caleb Sinn were instrumental in planning and organizing the field program. This report was prepared by Caleb Sinn and Bradley Peter.

## PIGEON LAKE

Pigeon Lake is a large (96.32 km<sup>2</sup>), shallow (average depth = 6m) lake located in the counties of Wetaskiwin and Leduc. It is a popular recreational lake within easy driving distance from the cities of Edmonton, Leduc, and Wetaskiwin. Pigeon Lake lies within the Battle River watershed. Water flows into the lake through intermittent streams draining the west and northwest portions of the watershed. The outlet, Pigeon Lake Creek, at the southeast margin of the lake, drains toward the Battle River.<sup>1</sup> The lake's drainage basin is small (176.62 km<sup>2</sup>) but heavily developed with agriculture, oil and gas, and community developments.<sup>2</sup>



Pigeon Lake at Pigeon Lake Provincial Park beach in 2018 (Photo by Bradley Peter)

The lake name is a translation from the Cree Mehmew Sâkâhikan, which means 'Dove Lake', but by 1858 the name Pigeon Lake was in use.<sup>3</sup> It has been suggested that the name Pigeon Lake refers to the huge flocks of Passenger Pigeons that once ranged in the area.<sup>1</sup> The lake was also previously known as Woodpecker Lake, and the Stoney name is recorded as Ke-gemni-wap-ta.<sup>3</sup> The water quality of Pigeon Lake is typical of large, productive, shallow lakes in Alberta, with water remaining quite green for most of the summer. However, residents have recently expressed concern over perceptions of deteriorating water quality as a result of recurring blue-green algal blooms, fish kills, and beach advisories<sup>4</sup>. Due to these concerns, there has been a demand to examine ways to reduce the frequency and intensity of cyanobacteria blooms. In 2013, data was collected to prepare a nutrient budget for Pigeon Lake - this report was later released in 2014 and it outlines areas of interest when considering watershed and inlake management options<sup>5</sup>. In 2018 the Pigeon Lake Watershed Association released their Pigeon Lake Watershed Management Plan which can be accessed via <u>www.plwmp.ca</u>.

The watershed area for Pigeon Lake is 176.62 km<sup>2</sup> and the lake area is 97.32 km<sup>2</sup>. The lake to watershed ratio of Pigeon Lake is 1:2. A map of the Pigeon Lake watershed area can be found <u>http://alms.ca/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/Pigeon.pdf</u>.

<sup>1</sup>Mitchell, P. and Prepas, E. (1990). Atlas of Alberta Lakes, University of Alberta Press. Retrieved from http://sunsite.ualberta.ca/projects/alberta-lakes/

<sup>2</sup> Teichreb, C., Peter, B. and Dyer, A. (2013). 2013 Overview of Pigeon lake Water Quality, Sediment Quality, and Non-Fish Biota. 2 pp.

<sup>3</sup> Aubrey, M. K. (2006). Concise place names of Alberta. Retrieved from

http://www.albertasource.ca/placenames/resources/searchcontent.php?book=1

<sup>4</sup> Aquality Environmental Consulting. (2008). Pigeon Lake State of Watershed Report. Prepared for Pigeon Lake Watershed Alliance. Retrieved from: <u>www.plwa.ca</u>.

<sup>5</sup> Teichreb, C. (2014). Pigeon Lake Phosphorus Budget. Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development. 28 pp.

## METHODS

**Profiles:** Profile data is measured at the deepest spot in the main basin of the lake. At the profile site, temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, conductivity and redox potential are measured at 0.5 - 1.0 m intervals. Additionally, Secchi depth is measured at the profile site and used to calculate the euphotic zone. For select lakes, metals are collected at the profile site by hand grab from the surface on one visit over the season.

*Composite samples:* At 10-sites across the lake, water is collected from the euphotic zone and combined across sites into one composite sample. This water is collected for analysis of water chemistry, chlorophyll-a, nutrients and microcystin. Quality control (QC) data for total phosphorus was taken as a duplicate true split on one sampling date. ALMS uses the following accredited labs for analysis: Routine water chemistry and nutrients are analyzed by Bureau Veritas, chlorophyll-*a* and metals are analyzed by Innotech Alberta, and microcystin is analyzed by the Alberta Centre for Toxicology (ACTF).

*Invasive Species: :* Invasive mussel monitoring involved sampling with a 63 µm plankton net at three sample sites twice through the summer season to determine the presence of juvenile dreissenid mussel veligers, and spiny water flea. Technicians also harvested potential Eurasian watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*) samples and submitted them for further analysis at the Alberta Plant Health Lab to genetically differentiate whether the sample was the invasive Eurasian watermilfoil or a native watermilfoil. In addition, select lakes were subject to a bioblitz, where a concerted effort to sample the lake's aquatic plant diversity took place.

*Data Storage and Analysis:* Data is stored in the Water Data System (WDS), a module of the Environmental Management System (EMS) run by Alberta Environment and Parks (AEP). Data goes through a complete validation process by ALMS and AEP. Users should use caution when comparing historical data, as sampling and laboratory techniques have changed over time (e.g. detection limits). For more information on data storage, see AEP Surface Water Quality Data Reports at <u>www.alberta.ca/surface-water-quality-data.aspx.</u>

Data analysis is done using the program R.<sup>1</sup> Data is reconfigured using packages tidyr <sup>2</sup> and dplyr <sup>3</sup> and figures are produced using the package ggplot2 <sup>4</sup>. Trophic status for each lake is classified based on lake water characteristics using values from Nurnberg (1996)<sup>5</sup>. The Canadian Council for Ministers of the Environment (CCME) guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life are used to compare heavy metals and dissolved oxygen measurements. Pearson's Correlation tests are used to examine relationships between total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-*a*, total kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) and Secchi depth, providing a correlation coefficient (r) to show the strength (0-1) and a p-value to assess significance of the relationship.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>R Core Team (2016). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <u>https://www.R-project.org/</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wickman, H. and Henry, L. (2017). tidyr: Easily Tidy Data with 'spread ()' and 'gather ()' Functions. R package version 0.7.2. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=tidyr.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Wickman, H., Francois, R., Henry, L. and Muller, K. (2017). dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation. R package version 0.7.4. <u>http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Wickham, H. (2009). ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Nurnberg, G.K. (1996). Trophic state of clear and colored, soft- and hardwater lakes with special consideration of nutrients, anoxia, phytoplankton and fish. Lake and Reservoir Management 12: 432-447.

BEFORE READING THIS REPORT, CHECK OUT <u>A BRIEF INTRODUCTION TO</u> <u>LIMNOLOGY</u> AT ALMS.CA/REPORTS

## WATER CHEMISTRY

ALMS measures a suite of water chemistry parameters. Phosphorus, nitrogen, and chlorophyll-a are important because they are indicators of eutrophication, or excess nutrients, which can lead to harmful algal/cyanobacteria blooms. One direct measure of harmful cyanobacteria blooms are Microcystins, a common group of toxins produced by cyanobacteria. See Table 2 for a complete list of parameters.

The average total phosphorus (TP) concentration for Pigeon Lake was 45  $\mu$ g/L (Table 2), falling into the eutrophic, or highly productive trophic classification. This value falls within the range of historical averages. TP was lowest when first sampled on June 10<sup>th</sup> at 13  $\mu$ g/L, and peaked at 76  $\mu$ g/L during the August 4<sup>th</sup> sampling event (Figure 1).

Average chlorophyll-*a* concentrations in 2020 was 39  $\mu$ g/L (Table 2), falling into the category of hypereutrophic, or very highly productive trophic classification. Chlorophyll-*a* was lowest on June 10<sup>th</sup> at 3.4  $\mu$ g/L and highest on August 4<sup>th</sup> at 76  $\mu$ g/L. These values corresponded with the lowest and highest TP values of the season, respectively.

Finally, the average TKN concentration was 1.2 mg/L (Table 2) with concentrations peaking on September 3<sup>rd</sup> at 1.6 mg/L.



Figure 1. Total Phosphorus (TP), Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN), and Chlorophyll-*a* concentrations measured four times over the course of the summer at Pigeon Lake, 2020.

Average pH was measured as 8.39 in 2020, buffered by moderate alkalinity (150 mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub>) and bicarbonate (183 mg/L HCO<sub>3</sub>). Aside from bicarbonate, the dominant ions were calcium and sodium, contributing to a low conductivity of 318  $\mu$ S/cm (Figure 2, top; Table 2). Pigeon Lake was in the lower range of ion levels compared to other LakeWatch lakes sampled in 2020 (Figure 2, bottom).



Figure 2. Average levels of cations (sodium =  $Na^{1+}$ , magnesium =  $Mg^{2+}$ , potassium =  $K^{1+}$ , calcium =  $Ca^{2+}$ ) and anions (chloride =  $Cl^{1-}$ , sulphate =  $SO_4^{2-}$ , bicarbonate =  $HCO_3^{1-}$ , carbonate =  $CO_3^{2-}$ ) from four measurements over the course of the summer at Pigeon Lake. Top) bars indicate range of values measured, and bottom) Schoeller diagram of average ion levels at Pigeon Lake (blue line) compared to 25 lake basins (gray lines) sampled through the LakeWatch program in 2020 (note  $log_{10}$  scale on y-axis of bottom figure).

#### METALS

Samples were analyzed for metals once throughout the summer (Table 3). In total, 27 metals were sampled for. It should be noted that many metals are naturally present in aquatic environments due to the weathering of rocks and may only become toxic at higher levels.

Metals were not measured in Pigeon Lake in 2020. Table 3 presents historical metal concentrations from previously measured years.

## WATER CLARITY AND EUPHOTIC DEPTH

Water clarity is influenced by suspended materials, both living and dead, as well as dissolved colored compounds in the water column. During the melting of snow and ice in spring, lake water can become turbid (cloudy) from silt transported into the lake. Lake water usually clears in late spring but then becomes more turbid with increased algal growth as the summer progresses. The easiest and most widely used measure of lake water clarity is the Secchi depth. Two times the Secchi depth equals the euphotic depth – the depth to which there is enough light for photosynthesis.

The average euphotic depth of Pigeon Lake in 2020 was 4.33 m, with a corresponding Secchi depth of 2.16 m (Table 2). Euphotic depth was shallowest on August 4<sup>th</sup> and September 3<sup>rd</sup>, indicating relatively lower water clarity, corresponding with high levels of algae and cyanobacteria (indicated by levels of chlorophyll-a). Euphotic depth was deepest on June 10<sup>th</sup>, indicating higher water clarity (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Euphotic depth and lake profile (sampling) location depth values measured four times over the course of the summer at Pigeon Lake in 2020.

## WATER TEMPERATURE AND DISSOLVED OXYGEN

Water temperature and dissolved oxygen (DO) profiles in the water column can provide information on water quality and fish habitat. The depth of the thermocline is important in determining the depth to which dissolved oxygen from the surface can be mixed. Please refer to ALMS' <u>Brief Introduction to Limnology</u> for descriptions of technical terms.

Temperatures of Pigeon Lake varied throughout the summer, with a minimum temperature of about 14 °C through the entire water column on the June 10<sup>th</sup> sampling event, and a maximum temperature of about 20 °C measured through the water column during the August 4<sup>th</sup> sampling event (Figure 4a). The lake was not stratified during any of the samplings, with temperatures remaining relatively constant from top to bottom. This indicates partial or complete mixing throughout the season.

Pigeon Lake remained well oxygenated through most of the water column throughout the summer, measuring above the CCME guidelines of 6.5 mg/L dissolved oxygen (Figure 4b). The oxygen level fell below this level close to lake bottom only during the August 4<sup>th</sup> sampling event.



Figure 4. a) Temperature (°C) and b) dissolved oxygen (mg/L) profiles for Pigeon Lake measured four times over the course of the summer of 2020.

#### MICROCYSTIN

Microcystins are toxins produced by cyanobacteria (blue-green algae) which, when ingested, can cause severe liver damage. Microcystins are produced by many species of cyanobacteria which are common to Alberta's Lakes, and are thought to be the one of the most common cyanobacteria toxins. In Alberta, recreational guidelines for microcystin are set at 20  $\mu$ g/L. Blue-green algae advisories are managed by Alberta Health Services. Recreating in algal blooms, even if microcystin concentrations are not above guidelines, is not recommended.

Microcystin levels in Pigeon Lake fell below the recreational guideline of 20  $\mu$ g/L on each sampling date (Table 1). Microcystin concentrations were below the detection limit of 0.1  $\mu$ g/L on June 10<sup>th</sup> and July 9<sup>th</sup>. A value of 0.05  $\mu$ g/L is used for the purpose of calculating average concentration in instances of no detection. Caution should still be observed when recreating in visible cyanobacteria blooms.

Date	Microcystin Concentration (µg/L)
10-Jun-20	<0.10
9-Jul-20	<0.10
4-Aug-20	0.22
3-Sep-20	0.66
Average	0.25

Table 1. Microcystin concentrations measured four times at Pigeon Lake in 2020.

#### Invasive Species Monitoring

Dreissenid mussels pose a significant concern for Alberta because they impair the function of water conveyance infrastructure and adversely impact the aquatic environment. These invasive mussels can change lake conditions which can then lead to toxic cyanobacteria blooms, decrease the amount of nutrients needed for fish and other native species, and cause millions of dollars in annual costs for repair and maintenance of water-operated infrastructure and facilities. Spiny water flea pose a concern for Alberta because they alter the abundance and diversity of native zooplankton as they are aggressive zooplankton predators. Through over-predation, they will impact higher trophic levels such as fish. They also disrupt fishing equipment by attaching in large numbers to fishing lines.

Monitoring involved sampling with a 63  $\mu$ m plankton net at three sample sites to look for juvenile mussel veligers and spiny water flea in each lake sampled. In 2020, no mussels or spiny water flea were detected at Pigeon Lake.

Eurasian watermilfoil is non-native aquatic plant that poses a threat to aquatic habitats in Alberta because it grows in dense mats preventing light penetration through the water column, reduces oxygen levels when the dense mats decompose, and outcompetes native aquatic plants. Eurasian watermilfoil can look similar to the native Northern watermilfoil, thus genetic analysis is ideal for suspect watermilfoil species identification.

Suspect samples collected from Pigeon Lake on July 9<sup>th</sup> were confirmed to be the native Northern watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum sibiricum*).

### WATER LEVELS

There are many factors influencing water quantity. Some of these factors include the size of the lake's drainage basin, precipitation, evaporation, water consumption, ground water influences, and the efficiency of the outlet channel structure at removing water from the lake. Requests for water quantity monitoring should go through Alberta Environment and Parks Monitoring and Science division.

Water levels in Pigeon Lake have remained relatively stable since Environment Canada began monitoring the lake in 1972 (Figure 4). Since 1972, Pigeon Lake water levels fluctuated between a maximum of 850.6 m asl and a minimum of 849.4 m asl. Data from Environment Canada was only available until 2015. A weir at the mouth of the outlet was installed in 1983 by ESRD to maintain water levels at 849.935 meters above sea level



(m asl). In 2008, monitoring revealed that the weir had risen 0.15 m due to frost heaving. In 2013, the height

was adjusted by ESRD to bring the structure back to the intended level of 849.935 m asl.<sup>1</sup>

Figure 4. Water levels measured in meters above sea level (masl) from 1972-2020. Data retrieved from Environment Canada (1972 – 2018), and Alberta Environment and Parks (2019-2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Teichreb, C., Peter, B. and Dyer, A. (2013). 2013 Overview of Pigeon lake Water Quality, Sediment Quality, and Non-Fish Biota. 2 pp.

Parameter	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
TP (µg/L)	27	35	23	29	29	43	29	26	34	38	36	29	32
TDP (µg/L)	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Chlorophyll-a (µg/L)	9.9	14.1	13.8	16.1	9.9	25.7	9.2	11.9	17.4	18.6	16.1	16.6	17.5
Secchi depth (m)	3.19	1.94	2.19	3.08	2.25	1.63	2.35	2.32	2.14	1.72	1.98	2.13	2.20
TKN (mg/L)	0.9	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.9
NO2-N and NO3-N (µg/L)	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
NH₃-N (μg/L)	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
DOC (mg/L)	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Ca (mg/L)	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Mg (mg/L)	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Na (mg/L)	15	15	16	15	15	17	16	14	14	17	17	17	18
K (mg/L)	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
SO4 <sup>2-</sup> (mg/L)	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Cl⁻ (mg/L)	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
CO₃ (mg/L)	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
HCO₃ (mg/L)	181	178	184	169	176	171	187	175	177	174	175	177	168
рН	8.37	8.43	8.35	8.57	8.50	8.36	8.32	8.50	8.46	8.45	8.56	8.60	8.61
Conductivity (µS/cm)	283	288	292	280	293	279	302	294	293	286	287	290	282
Hardness (mg/L)	112	103	113	110	111	109	120	122	121	111	113	114	111
TDS (mg/L)	157	154	158	151	157	151	163	158	156	152	154	155	156
Total Alkalinity (mg/L CaCO₃)	152	153	153	147	154	145	156	153	150	146	148	150	149
Microcystin (µg/L)	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/

Table 2a. Average Secchi depth and water chemistry values for Pigeon Lake. Historical values are given for reference.

Parameter	1996	1997	1983	2003	2005	2006	2008	2010	2011	2013	2014
TP (µg/L)	38	30	35	63	27	60	26	41	75	27	46
TDP (µg/L)	/	/	/	/	6	38	9	13	19	8	16
Chlorophyll-a (µg/L)	18.5	12.8	15.0	36.9	9.2	21.9	8.0	21.9	66.2	12.3	19.2
Secchi depth (m)	1.80	2.50	1.50	1.38	1.90	2.70	4.42	2.75	1.25	3.23	2.31
TKN (mg/L)	/	/	0.6	1.1	0.7	1.1	0.7	1.0	1.5	0.8	0.7
NO <sub>2</sub> -N and NO <sub>3</sub> -N (μg/L)	/	/	1	/	3	29	13	8	16	6	26
NH₃-N (μg/L)	/	/	3	/	3	124	16	72	109	28	25
DOC (mg/L)	/	/	/	/	/	7	/	7	/	/	8
Ca (mg/L)	/	/	/	/	29	21	27	24	20	28	23
Mg (mg/L)	/	/	/	/	13	14	13	14	13	13	11
Na (mg/L)	15	19	/	19	20	21	20	22	20	21	24
K (mg/L)	/	/	/	/	6	7	6	6	6	7	7
SO4 <sup>2-</sup> (mg/L)	/	/	/	/	7	10	5	9	3	6	5
Cl⁻ (mg/L)	/	/	/	/	4	3	3	3	3	3	4
CO₃ (mg/L)	/	/	/	/	8	5	3	1	9	3	6
HCO₃ (mg/L)	163	190	/	169	183	180	198	195	161	195	192
рН	8.66	8.17	/	8.56	8.60	8.50	8.37	8.57	8.74	8.34	8.59
Conductivity (µS/cm)	293	304	/	/	313	287	322	310	287	320	314
Hardness (mg/L)	106	130	/	103	125	119	121	116	100.2	122	104
TDS (mg/L)	151	169	/	/	177	173	175	174	153	176	182
Total Alkalinity (mg/L CaCO₃)	149	156	/	151	163	155	166	160	147	164	157
Microcystin (µg/L)	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.09	0.17	0.14	0.97

Table 2b. Average Secchi depth and water chemistry values for Pigeon Lake. Historical values are given for reference.

Table 2c. Average Secchi depth and water chemistry values for Pigeon Lake. Historical values are given for reference.

Parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
TP (µg/L)	61	26	47	33	22	45
TDP (µg/L)	11	6	4	5	6	10
Chlorophyll-a (µg/L)	41	28	58	39	15	39
Secchi depth (m)	1.65	3.36	1.85	2.29	2.94	2.16
TKN (mg/L)	1.3	0.9	1.3	1.0	0.8	1.2
NO2-N and NO3-N (μg/L)	3	3	2	9	6	9
NH₃-N (µg/L)	31	25	21	16	11	34
DOC (mg/L)	8	7	8	8	8	7
Ca (mg/L)	20	26	25	25	27	25
Mg (mg/L)	13	14	15	14	14	14
Na (mg/L)	21	24	24	24	24	24
K (mg/L)	6	7	7	7	7	7
SO4 <sup>2-</sup> (mg/L)	5	6	5	7	7	5
Cl <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	4	4	5	5	5	5
CO₃ (mg/L)	4	6	8	7	4	2
HCO₃ (mg/L)	178	184	178	188	195	183
рН	8.48	8.60	8.63	8.56	8.51	8.39
Conductivity (µS/cm)	298	320	316	328	333	318
Hardness (mg/L)	101	124	120	124	125	120
TDS (mg/L)	166	190	182	196	195	170
Total Alkalinity (mg/L CaCO₃)	154	160	160	164	168	150
Microcystin (µg/L)	2.32	0.13	0.47	0.58	0.07	0.25

Table 3. Concentrations of metals were last measured in Pigeon Lake in August 2017. The CCME heavy metal Guidelines for the Protection of Freshwater Aquatic Life (unless otherwise indicated) are presented for reference.

Metals (Total Recoverable)	2003	2012	2014	2015	2016	2017	Guidelines
Aluminum μg/L	14.9	5.13	10.55	14.3	7.2	5.1	100ª
Antimony μg/L	0.05	0.06685	0.089	0.0785	0.066	0.064	/
Arsenic μg/L	1.67	1.375	2.285	2.145	2.06	1.87	5
Barium μg/L	78.5	89.75	77.35	74.1	73.5	78.1	/
Beryllium μg/L	0.02	0.00675	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.0015	100 <sup>c,d</sup>
Bismuth μg/L	0.0025	0.00125	0.0005	0.00325	0.001	0.0015	/
Boron μg/L	27.9	29.85	27.4	28.5	31.1	27.3	1500
Cadmium μg/L	0.01	0.00325	0.002	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.26 <sup>b</sup>
Chromium µg/L	0.27	0.015	0.5235	0.09	0.04	0.05	/
Cobalt µg/L	0.11	0.00605	0.006565	0.018	0.005	0.021	1000 <sup>d</sup>
Copper μg/L	1.08	0.2255	0.4155	0.235	0.5	0.22	<b>4</b> <sup>b</sup>
Iron μg/L	39	2.04	15.75	144.2	20.6	14.3	300
Lead µg/L	0.145	0.0167	0.245	0.0595	0.028	0.032	<b>7</b> <sup>b</sup>
Lithium μg/L	8.6	9.09	8.29	9.175	11.2	9.47	2500 <sup>e</sup>
Manganese μg/L	54.1	16.9	15.75	49.65	6.48	27.5	200 <sup>e</sup>
Molybdenum μg/L	0.62	0.704	0.731	0.728	0.907	0.711	73 <sup>c</sup>
Nickel μg/L	0.16	0.0025	0.3465	0.0205	0.219	0.88	150 <sup>b</sup>
Selenium μg/L	0.25	0.103	0.35	0.03	0.21	0.1	1
Silver μg/L	0.0025	0.0015	0.00681	0.002	0.003	0.0005	0.25
Strontium μg/L	245	234	261	233	249	231	/
Thallium μg/L	0.0015	0.00105	0.00291	0.000875	0.0075	0.003	0.8
Thorium μg/L	0.0015	0.008725	0.003575	0.011425	0.01	0.005	/
Tin μg/L	0.05	0.0549	0.0231	0.0355	0.027	0.03	/
Titanium μg/L	1.5	0.8925	1.4355	3.125	1	1.37	/
Uranium μg/L	0.086	0.1805	0.1945	0.167	0.161	0.144	15
Vanadium µg/L	0.26	0.1545	0.456	0.14	0.26	0.189	100 <sup>d,e</sup>
Zinc μg/L	1.5	0.899	1.56	0.65	0.9	0.3	30

Values represent means of total recoverable metal concentrations.

<sup>a</sup> Based on pH ≥ 6.5

<sup>b</sup> Based on water hardness > 180mg/L (as CaCO3 )

<sup>c</sup>CCME interim value.

<sup>d</sup> Based on CCME Guidelines for Agricultural use (Livestock Watering).

<sup>e</sup> Based on CCME Guidelines for Agricultural Use (Irrigation).

A forward slash (/) indicates an absence of data or guidelines.

## LONG TERM TRENDS

Trend analysis was conducted on the parameters total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-*a*, total dissolved solids (TDS) and Secchi depth to look for changes over time in Pigeon Lake. In sum, non-significant increases were observed in TP and chlorophyll-*a*, and significant increasing trends were observed in Secchi depth and TDS. Secchi depth can be subjective and is sensitive to variation in weather - trend analysis must be interpreted with caution. Data is presented below as both line and box-and-whisker plots. Detailed methods are available in the *ALMS Guide to Trend Analysis on Alberta Lakes.* 

Parameter	Date Range	Direction of Significant Trend
Total Phosphorus	1983-2020	No Trend Detected
Chlorophyll-a	1983-2020	No Trend Detected
<b>Total Dissolved Solids</b>	1983-2020	Increasing
Secchi Depth	1983-2020	Increasing

Table 4. Summary table of trend analysis on Pigeon Lake data from 2003 to 2020.

#### Definitions:

Median: the value in a range of ordered numbers that falls in the middle. Trend: a general direction in which something is changing.

Monotonic trend: a gradual change in a single direction.

Statistically significant: The likelihood that a relationship between variables is caused by something other than random chance. This is indicated by a p-value of <0.05. Variability: the extent by which data is inconsistent or scattered.

Box and Whisker Plot: a box-and-whisker plot, or boxplot, is a way of displaying all of our annual data. The median splits the data in half. The 75<sup>th</sup> percentile is the upper quartile of the data, and the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile is the lower quartile of the data. The top and bottom points are the largest and smallest observations.



#### Total Phosphorus (TP)

Trend analysis of TP over time showed that it has not significantly changed in Pigeon Lake since 1982 (Tau =  $3.96 \times 10^{-2}$ , p = 0.57). Recent recent years show greater variation in total phosphorous levels than have been seen historically.



Figure 6. Monthly total phosphorus (TP) concentrations measured between June and September over the long term sampling dates between 1983 and 2020 (n = 98). The value closest to the  $15^{th}$  day of the month was chosen to represent the monthly value in cases with multiple monthly samples.

#### Chlorophyll-a





Figure 7. Monthly chlorophyll-*a* concentrations measured between June and September over the long term sampling dates between 1983 and 2020 (n = 98). The value closest to the 15<sup>th</sup> day of the month was chosen to represent the monthly value in cases with multiple monthly samples. Line graph is overlain by TP concentrations.

#### Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)

Trend analysis showed a significantly increasing trend in TDS since 1983 in Pigeon Lake (Tau = 0.55, p = <0.001).



Figure 8. Monthly TDS values measured between June and September over the long term sampling dates between 1983 and 2020 (n = 77). The value closest to the  $15^{th}$  day of the month was chosen to represent the monthly value in cases with multiple monthly samples.

#### Secchi Depth

Secchi depth now demonstrates a significantly increasing trend at Pigeon Lake since 1983 (Tau = 0.18, p = 0.019), but at a very slow rate of change. Recent years show much greater variation.



Figure 9. Monthly Secchi depth values measured between June and September over the long term sampling dates between 1983 and 2020 (n = 99). The value closest to the  $15^{th}$  day of the month was chosen to represent the monthly value in cases with multiple monthly samples.

Table 5. Results of trend tests using total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-*a*, total dissolved solids (TDS), and Secchi depth data from June to September on Pigeon Lake data.

Definition	Unit	Total Phosphorus (TP)	Chlorophyll-a	Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	Secchi Depth
Statistical Method	-	Mann Kendall	Seasonal Kendall	Seasonal Kendall	Seasonal Kendall
The strength and direction (+ or -) of the trend between -1 and 1	Tau	3.96 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	0.12	0.55	0.18
The extent of the trend	Slope	1.77 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.15	0.89	1.32 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>
The statistic used to find significance of the trend	Z	0.57	1.74	6.57	2.34
Number of samples included	n	98	98	77	99
The significance of the trend	p	0.57	0.08	4.89 x 10 <sup>-11</sup> *	0.019*

\*p < 0.05 is significant within 95%